Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

# Cyclic trihydroxamic acid derivatives

Ivica Đilović,<sup>a</sup>\* Dubravka Matković-Čalogović,<sup>a</sup> Ivan Kos,<sup>b</sup> Mladen Biruš<sup>b</sup> and Milena Jadrijević-Mladar Takač<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Laboratory of General and Inorganic Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, Horvatovac 102a, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia, and <sup>b</sup>Laboratory of General and Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy and Biochemistry, University of Zagreb, Ante Kovačića 1, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia Correspondence e-mail: idilovic@chem.pmf.hr

Received 19 September 2006 Accepted 21 November 2006 Online 12 December 2006

In the crystal structures of two cyclic trihydroxamic acid derivatives containing the same substructure unit, *viz*. 1,3,5trihydroxy-1,3,5-triazinane-2,4,6-trione dihydrate,  $C_3H_3N_3O_6$ - $2H_2O$ , (I), and 1,3,5-benzyloxy-1,3,5-triazinane-2,4,6-trione,  $C_{24}H_{21}N_3O_6$ , (II), there is no significant difference in the geometric parameters. In (I), there are 11 hydrogen bonds of the O-H···O type interconnecting the molecules in a threedimensional network, while in (II) there are only two weak C-H···O hydrogen bonds. The results of IR spectroscopic analysis are in good agreement with the crystallographic study.

## Comment

1,3,5-Trihydroxy-1,3,5-triazinane-2,4,6-trione has recently been applied as an aerobic oxidation catalyst (Hirai et al., 2003, 2004). Jadrijević-Mladar Takač et al. (2006) reported for this compound and its derivatives (acetyl and pivaloyl esters) physico-chemical analyses and properties, such as  $pK_a$ , FT–IR, NMR, MS and TGA, and indicated some metal binding properties. Caira et al. (2006) investigated the ability of this compound to act as a host for a series of solvents. Among other triazinones and numerous cyclic amines, the compound has already been involved in the investigation of sulfur dioxide removal from fluids containing SO<sub>2</sub> (Christiansen et al. 1992). On the other hand, using a pharmacophore query developed through a molecular modelling study of a class of known HIV-1 integrase inhibitors, the oxygen-substituted derivative 1,3,5-trihydroxymethyl-1,3,5-triazinane-2,4,6-trione has been observed as one of the four most potent candidates (IC50 <  $30 \,\mu\text{mol} \,\text{dm}^{-3}$ ), with the triazinone molecule being a promising lead compound for the development of new anti-AIDS drugs.

Although the structure of 1,3,5-trihydroxy-1,3,5-triazinane-2,4,6-trione hosting three dimethylformamide molecules has already been published (Caira *et al.*, 2006), no X-ray data for 1,3,5-trihydroxy-1,3,5-triazinane-2,4,6-trione dihydrate, (I), have been published so far. Therefore, as part of our work on the structure evaluation of hydroxamic acids (MatkovićČalogović *et al.*, 2003; Đilović *et al.*, 2006), we present here the crystal structures of (I) and its precursor 1,3,5-benzyloxy-1,3,5-triazinane-2,4,6-trione, (II), as examples of unsubstituted and substituted cyclic trihydroxamic acids.



There is no significant difference in the bond distances and angles in (I) and (II) (Tables 1 and 3). The geometric parameters are comparable to the corresponding values reported in the literature (Larsen, 1976; Đilović *et al.*, 2006). The sixmembered heterocyclic rings are almost planar [the largest displacements of the C and N atoms from the mean planes of the triazine rings are, respectively, 0.031 (1) and 0.023 (1) Å for (I), and 0.024 (1) and 0.029 (1) Å for (II)], but show considerable deviation from the ideal hexagonal form. The internal C–N–C angles are considerably greater than 120° [the minimum and maximum values are 126.91 (10) and 128.05 (14)°], while the internal N–C–N angles are smaller than 120° [the minimum and maximum values are 111.94 (14) and 112.87 (10)°]. Thus, aromaticity is achieved only by the contribution of lone-pair electrons located at the N atoms.

The crystal packing of (I) is characterized by strong hydrogen-bonding interactions between the host and water molecules (Fig. 3 and Table 2). Voids in the crystal structure of the host molecule are occupied by water molecules which 'transfer' the hydrogen-bond interactions throughout the crystal structure, interconnecting the molecules into an infinite three-dimensional framework.

Comparison of N,N',N''-tribenzyloxydicarbonimidic diamide (Đilović *et al.*, 2006) with (II) reveals a difference in the crystal packing of two molecules containing the (CO)<sub>2</sub>NO-CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub> substructure unit in non-cyclic and cyclic systems.



Figure 1

A view of the molecular structure of (I), showing the atom-labelling scheme and displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level.





A view of the molecular structure of (II), showing the atom-labelling scheme and displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level.



#### Figure 3

Two views of the crystal packing of (I). Hydrogen bonds are indicated with dashed lines. Water molecules are represented in ball-and-stick style, while the other molecules are represented in capped-stick style. All molecules are coloured by symmetry equivalence.

Because of the conformation, molecules of (II) are packed into 'stepwise' chains with dominating weak hydrogen-bond interactions (Fig. 4 and Table 4) and relatively close  $H \cdots H$ 





The crystal packing of (II). Only one layer of molecules is shown. Hydrogen bonds are indicated with dashed lines and H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonds have been omitted.

contacts (2.283 Å). Chains parallel to the crystallographic plane (111) are connected through  $\pi - \pi$  (3.340 Å) and C–  $H \cdots \pi$  interactions (2.891 Å). The presence of additional conformational freedom in the non-cyclic system results in sheets of centrosymmetric dimers, which are interconnected by strong hydrogen bonds. In addition to the above-mentioned interactions, C– $H \cdots \pi$  contacts between the terminal phenyl groups and weaker hydrogen-bond interactions are also present in the crystal structure.

# **Experimental**

Compounds (I) and (II) were synthesized according to a previously published procedure (Butula *et al.*, 2000). The structure and purity of both were confirmed by means of thin-layer chromatography, FT–IR and NMR analysis. Compound (I) was crystallized from a saturated acetone/diethyl ether (9:1) solution and (II) from a saturated acetone/diethyl ether (1:1) solution by slow evaporation at room temperature (beakers containing each solution were covered with aluminium foil to slow down evaporation). Colourless crystals of good quality were obtained after three weeks, and these were stable for months when exposed to the atmosphere.

# Compound (I)

# Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{3}H_{3}N_{3}O_{6}\cdot 2H_{2}O\\ M_{r}=213.12\\ \text{Monoclinic, }P_{1}/a\\ a=7.5109\ (12)\ \text{\AA}\\ b=11.9244\ (17)\ \text{\AA}\\ c=8.1794\ (12)\ \text{\AA}\\ \beta=98.405\ (13)^{\circ}\\ V=724.70\ (19)\ \text{\AA}^{3} \end{array}$ 

#### Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur CCD diffractometer ω scans 4408 measured reflections Z = 4  $D_x = 1.953 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K $\alpha$  radiation  $\mu = 0.20 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 113 (2) K Prism, colourless  $0.50 \times 0.43 \times 0.36 \text{ mm}$ 

1634 independent reflections 1451 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.015$  $\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^{\circ}$ 

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0432P)^2$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$	+ 0.3604P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.088$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.12	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
1634 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.28 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
157 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.23 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
All H-atom parameters refined	

#### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (I).

C1-O1	1.2084 (15)	C2-N1	1.3804 (16)
C1-N1	1.3808 (16)	C3-O3	1.2063 (15)
C1-N3	1.3814 (16)	C3-N2	1.3809 (16)
C2-O2	1.2071 (16)	C3-N3	1.3843 (16)
C2-N2	1.3802 (16)		
C1-N3-C3	127.27 (11)	N1-C1-N3	112.43 (10)
C2-N1-C1	127.48 (11)	N2-C2-N1	112.87 (10)
C2-N2-C3	126.91 (10)	N2-C3-N3	112.80 (10)

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °) for (I).

$D-\mathrm{H}\cdots A$	$D-{\rm H}$	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$O1N-H1O\cdots O1W^{i}$	0.88 (2)	2.39 (2)	3.0060 (15)	127 (2)
$O1N-H1O\cdots O2W^{ii}$	0.88 (2)	2.05 (2)	2.7758 (15)	140 (2)
$O2N-H2O\cdots O2W^{iii}$	0.89 (2)	1.69 (2)	2.5803 (14)	175 (2)
$O3N-H3O\cdots O1W^{iii}$	0.84(2)	1.73 (2)	2.5754 (15)	173 (2)
$O1W-H11W\cdots O1^{iv}$	0.86 (3)	2.05(2)	2.8889 (15)	165 (2)
$O1W-H11W \cdot \cdot \cdot O1N^{iv}$	0.86(3)	2.45 (2)	2.9775 (14)	120(2)
O1W-H12WO2	0.82(2)	1.96 (2)	2.7685 (14)	170 (2)
$O2W - H21W \cdot \cdot \cdot O2N^{v}$	0.82(2)	2.35 (2)	3.0294 (15)	141 (2)
$O2W - H21W \cdot \cdot \cdot O3^{v}$	0.82(2)	2.11(2)	2.8052 (14)	144 (2)
$O2W - H22W \cdot \cdot \cdot O1N$	0.80(2)	2.54 (2)	3.1543 (15)	136 (2)
$O2W - H22W \cdots O3N^{vi}$	0.80 (2)	2.21 (2)	2.8544 (14)	138 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; (ii)  $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z$ ; (iii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$ ; (iv) x, y, z - 1; (v) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (vi) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 2.

#### Compound (II)

Crystal data

# $\begin{array}{l} {\rm C}_{24}{\rm H}_{21}{\rm N}_{3}{\rm O}_{6} \\ M_{r} = 447.44 \\ {\rm Triclinic,} \ P\overline{1} \\ a = 7.765 \ (2) \ {\rm \AA} \\ b = 12.139 \ (3) \ {\rm \AA} \\ c = 12.783 \ (3) \ {\rm \AA} \\ \alpha = 66.36 \ (2)^{\circ} \\ \beta = 76.78 \ (2)^{\circ} \\ \gamma = 82.79 \ (2)^{\circ} \end{array}$

### Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur CCD diffractometer ω scans 8404 measured reflections

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.055$   $wR(F^2) = 0.167$  S = 1.054600 reflections 382 parameters  $V = 1073.8 \text{ (5) } \text{Å}^{3}$  Z = 2  $D_{x} = 1.384 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation  $\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 113 (2) KPrism, colourless  $0.82 \times 0.68 \times 0.44 \text{ mm}$ 

4600 independent reflections 3183 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.022$  $\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.0^{\circ}$ 

All H-atom parameters refined  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1119P)^2]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$   $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$   $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.53 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.35 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ 

#### Table 3

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (II).

C1-O1	1.201 (2)	C2-N1	1.383 (2)
C1-N1	1.385 (2)	C3-O3	1.203 (2)
C1-N3	1.386 (2)	C3-N3	1.389 (2)
C2-O2	1.206 (2)	C3-N2	1.392 (2)
C2-N2	1.383 (2)		
N1-C1-N3	112.21 (14)	C2-N1-C1	128.05 (14)
N2-C2-N1	111.96 (14)	C2-N2-C3	127.98 (14)
N3-C3-N2	111.94 (14)	C1-N3-C3	127.62 (14)

#### Table 4

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °) for (II).

D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
1.02(2) 1.00(2)	2.54 (2) 2.46 (3)	3.350 (3) 3.423 (3)	136 (2) 161 (2)
	<i>D</i> -H 1.02 (2) 1.00 (2)	$D-H$ $H \cdots A$ 1.02 (2)         2.54 (2)           1.00 (2)         2.46 (3)	$D-H$ $H \cdots A$ $D \cdots A$ 1.02 (2)         2.54 (2)         3.350 (3)           1.00 (2)         2.46 (3)         3.423 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) x + 1, y, z - 1; (ii) x - 1, y + 1, z.

The positions of H atoms were obtained from a difference Fourier map and they were included in the refinement process with isotropic displacement parameters [C-H = 0.92 (2)-1.05 (2) Å].

For both compounds, data collection: *CrysAlis CCD* (Oxford Diffraction, 2003); cell refinement: *CrysAlis CCD*; data reduction: *CrysAlis RED* (Oxford Diffraction, 2003); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *MERCURY* (Macrae *et al.*, 2006) [for (I) only] and *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003).

The authors thank the Ministry of Science, Education and Sports of the Republic of Croatia for financial support (grant Nos. 0119632 and 0006441).

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: AV3046). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

#### References

- Butula, I. & Jadrijević-Mladar Takač, M. (2000). Croat. Chem. Acta, 73, 569– 574.
- Caira, M. R., Fujiwara, T. & Tanaka, K. (2006). Anal. Sci. 22, x69-x70.
- Christiansen, S. H., Chang, D. & Wilson, A. D. (1992). European Patent Application EP 471591 (February 19, 1992); *Chem. Abstr.* 117, 156794g.
- Đilović, I., Matković-Čalogović, D., Kos, I., Biruš, M. & Jadrijević-Mladar Takač, M. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, o1016–o1018.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Hirai, N., Kagayama, T., Tatsukawa, Y., Sakaguchi, S. & Ishii, Y. (2004). *Tetrahedron Lett.* 45, 8277–8280.
- Hirai, N., Sawatari, N., Nakamura, N., Sakaguchi, S. & Ishi, Y. (2003). J. Org. Chem. 68, 6587–6590.
- Jadrijević-Mladar Takač, M., Kos, I., Biruš, M., Butula, M. & Gabričević, M. (2006). J. Mol. Struct. 782, 24–31.
- Larsen, I. K. (1976). Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. B, 30, 533-541.
- Macrae, C. F., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Shields, G. P., Taylor, R., Towler, M. & van de Streek, J. (2006). J. Appl. Cryst. 39, 453–457.
- Matković-Čalogović, D., Bešić, E., Biruš, M. & Gabričević, M. (2003). *Acta Cryst.* **C59**, 0694–0695.
- Oxford Diffraction (2003). *CrysAlis CCD* and *CrysAlis RED*. Versions 1.170. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Abingdon, Oxfordshire, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467–473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany. Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7–13.

Acta Cryst. (2007). C63, o45-o47